

The orbifold Langer-Miyaoka-Yau inequality and Hirzebruch-type inequalities

Piotr Pokora

December 16, 2016

Abstract

Using Langer's variation on the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality [5, Theorem 0.1] we provide some Hirzebruch-type inequalities for curve arrangements in the complex projective plane.

Keywords curve configurations, orbifold Miyaoka-Yau inequality, orbifold Euler numbers

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 14C20, 32S22, 52C35

1 Introduction

In 2003 A. Langer [5] has shown the following beautiful variation on the classical Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality for a normal surface X with a boundary divisor D .

Theorem 1.1. *Let (X, D) be a normal projective surface with a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $D = \sum_i a_i D_i$ with $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$. Assume that the pair (X, D) is log canonical and $K_X + D$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective. Then*

$$(K_X + D)^2 \leq 3e_{orb}(X, D). \quad (1)$$

Moreover, if equality holds, then $K_X + D$ is nef.

In the above formulae, $e_{orb}(X, D)$ denotes the global orbifold number for $(X, \sum_i a_i D_i)$, i.e.,

$$e_{orb}(X, D) = e_{top}(X) - \sum_i a_i e_{top}(D_i \setminus \text{Sing}(X, D)) + \sum_{x \in \text{Sing}(X, D)} (e_{orb}(x, X, D) - 1),$$

and by $e_{orb}(x, X, D)$ we denote the local orbifold Euler number at x [5, Definition 3.1]. For us the most important property is that local orbifold Euler numbers are analytic in their nature. In the present note we would like to obtain some Hirzebruch-type inequalities for curve arrangements in the complex projective plane such that all irreducible components are smooth and have pairwise transversal intersection points, i.e., all singularities are *ordinary* and locally look like $\{x^k = y^k\}$. For those singularities Langer [5, Theorem 8.3] computed their local orbifold Euler numbers using lines in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $L_1, \dots, L_n$ be n distinct lines in $\mathbb{C}^2$ passing through 0. Set $D = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i L_i$, where $0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n \leq 1$, and $a = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$. Then*

$$e_{orb}(0; \mathbb{C}^2, D) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a > 2 \\ (1 - a + a_n)(1 - a_n) & \text{if } 2a_n \geq a \end{cases}$$

and

$$e_{orb}(0; \mathbb{C}^2, D) \leq \left(1 - \frac{a}{2}\right)^2$$

if $2a_n \leq a \leq 2$.

Now we would like to look at the case of plane curves in smooth surfaces. If C is a reduced curve in X , then

$$e_{top}(C) = -(K_X + C).C + \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(C)} \mu_p,$$

where μ_p denotes the Milnor number of a singular point p . It is easy to observe that if $(X, \alpha C)$ is a log canonical pair for a suitable α , then we can write (1) as follows [5, Section 11]:

$$\sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(C)} 3 \left(\alpha(\mu_p - 1) + 1 - e_{orb}(p, X, \alpha C) \right) \leq 3e_{top}(X) - K_X^2 + \alpha K_X.C + (3\alpha - \alpha^2)C^2.$$

In particular, if $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ and C is a reduced curve of degree d , then

$$\sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(C)} 3 \left(\alpha(\mu_p - 1) + 1 - e_{orb}(p, \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2, \alpha C) \right) \leq (3\alpha - \alpha^2)d^2 - 3\alpha d. \quad (2)$$

The main idea of this note is to provide some Hirzebruch-type inequalities for arrangements of curves in the complex projective plane. We will show that using Langer's variation on the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality one can obtain rather elementary proofs of them, i.e., we do not need to pass to Hirzebruch's construction which involves abelian covers branched along arrangements of curves. Another important motivation is a kind of misunderstanding of the nature of Hirzebruch's paper and his (family of) inequalities by some authors. We show that the so-called 'quadratic right-hand side in Hirzebruch's inequality' can be obtained for a large class of curve arrangements, not only for those having the same type of irreducible components.

2 Hirzebruch-type inequalities

In this section we assume that all curve arrangements $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ have ordinary singularities and every irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}$ is smooth. For a given arrangement of curves $\mathcal{C}$ we denote by t_r the number of r -fold points, i.e., points where r -curves from the arrangement meet. Moreover, we define for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ the following numbers

$$f_i = \sum_{r \geq 2} r^i t_r,$$

and finally we will use the following elementary observations: $\sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{C})} m_p = f_1$, $\sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{C})} m_p^2 = f_2$.

Now we present the main results. The first one is devoted to line-conic arrangements on the complex projective plane and this result, according to the author's knowledge, is the first result providing some constraints on the combinatorics of such arrangements. Moreover, these arrangements seem to be interesting in the context of Terao's conjecture, see for instance [6].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{LC} = \{L_1, \dots, L_l, C_1, \dots, C_k\}$ be an arrangement of l lines and k conics such that $t_r = 0$ for $r > \frac{2(l+2k)}{3}$. Then one has*

$$t_2 + \frac{3}{4}t_3 + (4k + 2l - 4)k \geq l + \sum_{r \geq 5} \left(\frac{r^2}{4} - r \right) t_r.$$

Proof. For an arrangement $\mathcal{LC}$ let us denote by $C = L_1 + \dots + L_l + C_1 + \dots + C_k$ the associated divisor. First of all, we need to choose α in such a way that $K_{\mathbb{P}^2} + \alpha C$ is effective and log-canonical. Thus

$$\frac{3}{\ell + 2k} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{2}{r_{max}},$$

where r_{max} denotes the maximal possible multiplicity of singular points in $\mathcal{LC}$. This implies in particular that $r_{max} \leq \frac{2\ell+4k}{3}$. Let us now choose $\alpha \in [\frac{3}{\ell+2k}, \frac{2}{r_{max}}]$. Our aim is to apply (2) in the above setting. We

start with the left-hand side. Using the fact that for a singular point p we have $\mu_p = (m_p - 1)^2$ (see for instance [4, Remark 2.8]), one has

$$L : \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C})} 3 \left(\alpha(\mu_p - 1) + 1 - e_{orb}(p, \mathbb{P}^2, \alpha C) \right) = \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C})} 3 \left(\alpha(m_p^2 - 2m_p) + 1 - e_{orb}(p, \mathbb{P}^2, \alpha C) \right) =$$

$$3\alpha(f_2 - 2f_1) + 3f_0 - 3 \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C})} e_{orb}(p, \mathbb{P}^2, \alpha C).$$

We need to use Proposition 1.2. Since all $a_1 = \dots = a_{l+k} = \alpha$, if p is a double point, then

$$e_{orb}(p, \mathbb{P}^2, \alpha C) = (1 - \alpha)^2,$$

and for points p with multiplicities $3 \leq m_p = r \leq r_{max}$ one has

$$e_{orb}(p, \mathbb{P}^2, \alpha C) \leq \left(1 - \frac{\alpha r}{2} \right)^2.$$

This leads to

$$3\alpha(f_2 - 2f_1) + 3f_0 - 3 \sum_{r \geq 2} t_r \left(1 - \frac{\alpha r}{2} \right)^2 \leq 3\alpha(f_2 - 2f_1) + 3f_0 - 3 \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C})} e_{orb}(p, \mathbb{P}^2, \alpha C),$$

and finally we obtain

$$3\alpha f_2 - 3\alpha f_1 - \frac{3}{4}\alpha^2 f_2 \leq 3\alpha(f_2 - 2f_1) + 3f_0 - 3 \sum_{p \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C})} e_{orb}(p, \mathbb{P}^2, \alpha C).$$

Let us come back to the right-hand side. First of all, observe that

$$\binom{l}{2} + 4\binom{k}{2} + 2kl = \sum_{r \geq 2} t_r \binom{r}{2}$$

assuming also that $\binom{0}{2} = \binom{1}{2} = 0$. With $d = 2k + l$ we can rewrite the above combinatorial equality as

$$d^2 = f_2 - f_1 + 4k + l.$$

Using this identity, we get

$$R : (3\alpha - \alpha^2)d^2 - 3\alpha d = (3\alpha - \alpha^2)(f_2 - f_1 + 4k + l) - 3\alpha(2k + l) = 3\alpha f_2 - 3\alpha f_1 - \alpha^2 f_2 - \alpha^2 f_1 + 6\alpha l - \alpha^2(4k + l).$$

Since $L \leq R$, we obtain

$$3\alpha f_2 - 3\alpha f_1 - \frac{3}{4}\alpha^2 f_2 \leq 3\alpha f_2 - 3\alpha f_1 - \alpha^2 f_2 - \alpha^2 f_1 + 6\alpha l - \alpha^2(4k + l),$$

and this provides

$$\left(\frac{6}{\alpha} - 4 \right) k + t_2 + \frac{3}{4}t_3 \geq l + \sum_{r \geq 5} \left(\frac{r^2}{4} - r \right) t_r.$$

In particular, taking $\alpha^{-1} = (2k + l)/3$ one has

$$t_2 + \frac{3}{4}t_3 + (4k + 2l - 4)k \geq l + \sum_{r \geq 5} \left(\frac{r^2}{4} - r \right) t_r,$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Now we consider the case when all components have the same degree.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_k\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ be an arrangement of k curves such that all irreducible components have degree $d \geq 1$. Moreover, we assume that $t_r = 0$ for $r > \frac{2dk}{3}$. Then one has*

$$t_2 + \frac{3}{4}t_3 + d^2k(dk - k - 1) \geq \sum_{r \geq 5} \left(\frac{r^2}{4} - r \right) t_r.$$

Proof. For a given arrangement $\mathcal{C}$ let us denote by $C = C_1 + \dots + C_k$ the associated divisor. First of all, we need to choose α in such a way that $K_{\mathbb{P}^2} + \alpha C$ is effective and log-canonical. Thus

$$\frac{3}{dk} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{2}{r_{\max}},$$

where $r_{\max}$ denotes the maximal multiplicity of singular points in $\mathcal{C}$. This implies in particular that $r_{\max} \leq \frac{2dk}{3}$. Observe that if $d \geq 2$, then there are no constraints on multiplicities of singular points. Let us now choose $\alpha \in [\frac{3}{dk}, \frac{2}{r_{\max}}]$. The proof is analogical as in the previous case. Since the local orbifold Euler number is analytic in nature, thus the left-hand side has the following form:

$$L : 3\alpha f_2 - 3\alpha f_1 - \frac{3}{4}\alpha^2 f_2.$$

Now we focus on the right-hand side. Recall that we have the following combinatorial equality

$$d^2 \binom{k}{2} = \sum_{r \geq 2} t_r \binom{r}{2}.$$

This leads to

$$d^2 k^2 = f_2 - f_1 + d^2 k$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R : (3\alpha - \alpha^2)(d^2 k^2) - 3\alpha dk &= (3\alpha - \alpha^2)(f_2 - f_1 + d^2 k) - 3\alpha dk = \\ &= 3\alpha f_2 - 3\alpha f_1 - \alpha^2 f_2 + \alpha^2 f_1 - \alpha^2 d^2 k + 3\alpha d^2 k - 3\alpha dk. \end{aligned}$$

Using $L \leq R$ we get

$$3\alpha f_2 - 3\alpha f_1 - \frac{3}{4}\alpha^2 f_2 \leq 3\alpha f_2 - 3\alpha f_1 - \alpha^2 f_2 + \alpha^2 f_1 - \alpha^2 d^2 k + 3\alpha dk(d - 1).$$

Finally

$$t_2 + \frac{3}{4}t_3 + \frac{3}{\alpha}dk(d - 1) \geq d^2 k + \sum_{r \geq 5} \left(\frac{r^2}{4} - r \right) t_r.$$

In particular, taking $\alpha^{-1} = \frac{dk}{3}$ one has

$$t_2 + \frac{3}{4}t_3 + d^2 k(dk - k - 1) \geq \sum_{r \geq 5} \left(\frac{r^2}{4} - r \right) t_r.$$

□

Remark 2.3. Let us point out here that the case with $d = 1$ was announced in [2, Lemma 2.2] as a consequence of [5, Proposition 11.3.1]. On the other hand, this particular inequality is a special case of a much stronger result from the same thesis [2, Theorem 2.3].

Remark 2.4. Let us focus on the case $d = 1$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line arrangement on the complex projective space such that $t_r = 0$ for $r > \frac{2}{3}k$, then we obtain

$$t_2 + \frac{3}{4}t_3 \geq k + \sum_{r \geq 5} \left(\frac{r^2}{4} - r \right) t_r. \quad (3)$$

It is worth pointing out that this is the strongest possible inequality for line arrangements with $t_r = 0$ for $r > \frac{2}{3}k$. Recall that for line arrangements with $k \geq 6$ lines and $t_k = t_{k-1} = t_{k-2} = 0$ Hirzebruch proved the following inequalities (see [1] for details):

- $t_2 + t_3 \geq k + \sum_{r \geq 5} (r - 4)t_r$,
- $t_2 + \frac{3}{4}t_3 \geq k + \sum_{r \geq 5} (2r - 9)t_r$,

and finally we have the following sequence of inequalities:

$$t_2 + t_3 \geq t_2 + \frac{3}{4}t_3 \geq k + \sum_{r \geq 5} \left(\frac{r^2}{4} - r \right) t_r \geq k + \sum_{r \geq 5} (2r - 9)t_r \geq k + \sum_{r \geq 5} (r - 4)t_r.$$

In order to emphasize the fact that (3) is the strongest inequality in the mentioned class of line arrangements, we recall [5, Example 11.3.2]. Below we present a list of particular examples [1, p. 210] for which the equality in (3) holds:

1. *Icosahedron arrangement* consisting of 15 lines and $t_2 = 15, t_3 = 10, t_5 = 6$,
2. *Klein's arrangement* consisting of 21 lines and $t_3 = 28, t_4 = 21$,
3. *Fermat's arrangements* consisting of $3n$ lines with $n \geq 3$, and $t_3 = n^2, t_n = 3$,
4. *Hesse arrangement* consisting of 12 lines and $t_4 = 9, t_2 = 12$,
5. *extended Hesse arrangement* consisting of 21 lines and $t_2 = 36, t_4 = 9, t_5 = 12$,
6. *Wiman's arrangement* consisting of 45 lines and $t_3 = 120, t_4 = 45, t_5 = 36$.

Acknowledgement

I am very grateful to Adrian Langer for stimulating conversations, useful comments about the content of the note, and for pointing out [2]. The author is partially supported by National Science Centre Poland Grant 2014/15/N/ST1/02102.

References

- [1] G. Barthel & F. Hirzebruch & Th. Höfer, *Geradenkonfigurationen und algebraische Flächen. Aspects of mathematics*. D4. Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1987.
- [2] R. Bojanowski, *Zastosowania uogólnionej nierówności Bogomolova-Miyaoka-Yau*. Master Thesis (in Polish), <http://www.mimuw.edu.pl/%7EAlan/postscript/bojanowski.ps>, 2003.
- [3] E. Brieskorn & H. Knrerr, *Ebene algebraische Kurven*. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel u.a. 1981.
- [4] P. Cassou-Noguè & A. Płoski, Invariants of plane curve singularities and Newton diagrams. *Univ. Iagel. Acta Math.* **49**: 9 – 34 (2011).
- [5] A. Langer, Logarithmic orbifold Euler numbers with applications. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **(3)86**: 358 – 396 (2003).
- [6] H. Schenck & Stefan Tohaneanu, Freeness of Conic-Line arrangements in $\mathbb{P}^2$. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici* **84**: 235 – 258 (2009).

Piotr Pokora, Instytut Matematyki, Pedagogical University of Cracow, Podchorążych 2, PL-30-084 Kraków, Poland.

Current Address: Institut für Algebraische Geometrie, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Welfengarten 1, D-30167 Hannover, Germany.

E-mail address: piotrpkr@gmail.com, pokora@math.uni-hannover.de